Case 2:15-cr-00286-RFB-VCF Document 5	60 Filed 11/09/15 Page 1 of 3				
JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6769 ORONOZ & ERICSSON, L.L.C.					
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101					
Telephone: (702) 878-2889 Facsimile: (702) 522-1542					
jim@oronozlawyers.com Attorney for Danny Goodman					
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,					
Plaintiff,	2:15-CR-286-LDG-NJK				
vs.	STIPULATION TO MODIFY DEFENDANT GOODMAN'S				
DANNY GOODMAN et al,	CODITIONS OF PRETRIAL RELEASE				
Defendant.					
IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND	AGREED, by and between KATHRYN				
NEWMAN, Assistant United States Attorney, and JAMES ORONOZ, ESQ., counsel for					
Defendant, DANNY GOODMAN, that Mr. Goodman should no longer be subjected to					
location monitoring.					
This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:					
///					
///					
1					
	JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6769 ORONOZ & ERICSSON, L.L.C. 700 SOUTH 3RD STREET Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 878-2889 Facsimile: (702) 522-1542 jim@oronozlawyers.com Attorney for Danny Goodman UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. DANNY GOODMAN et al, Defendant. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND NEWMAN, Assistant United States Attorney, Defendant, DANNY GOODMAN, that Mr. G location monitoring. This Stipulation is entered into for the fo				

1 1. Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142, the Court has the discretion to set the conditions of a defendant's release pending trial. 2 2. On October 19, 2015, during Goodman's detention hearing, pretrial services 3 indicated that they were unable to verify the information they received from Goodman regarding his residence. That same day, the Court imposed several 4 conditions for Goodman's pretrial release [Doc. #35]. One of the conditions the 5 Court imposed upon Goodman was location monitoring. 6 3. Both parties have recently spoken to Samira Barlow ("Barlow") who is an 7 employee of pretrial services. Barlow confirmed that she was able to verify Goodman's residence. Additionally, Barlow has indicated that she does not object 8 to releasing Goodman from location monitoring. 9 4. At the detention hearing, the Government asked the Court to impose location 10 monitoring because pretrial services could not verify the information Goodman had provided regarding his residence. However, now that his residence has been 11 verified, the Government has no objection to releasing Goodman from location monitoring. 12 13 5. Given the foregoing, the parties stipulate that Goodman should no longer be subjected to the pretrial release condition of location monitoring. 14 DATED: November 6, 2015. 15 16 /s/ James Oronoz /s/ Kathryn Newman 17 JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ. KATHRYN NEWMAN 700 South Third Street Assistant United States Attorney 18 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, #5000 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Counsel for Danny Goodman 19 Counsel for United States 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

C	ase 2:15-cr-00286-RFB-VCF	Document 50	Filed 11/09/15	Page 3 of 3		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
3		,				
4	LINUTED STATES OF AMEDIC)				
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	A,) 2	2:15-CR-286-LDG-	NJK		
6	Plaintiff,		ORDER			
7	vs. DANNY GOODMAN et al,		JKDEK			
8	Defendant.					
9	Defendant.)				
10						
11		NEDED 41-441- D	-f 1 4 D C-	- A		
12	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Defendant Danny Goodman is no longer					
13	subject to the pretrial release condition of location monitoring.					
14	DATED: November 9, 2015					
15						
16						
17			Const			
18	United States Magistrate Judge					
19						
20						
21						
22						
2324						
25						
26						
27						
28						
-						
		3				